

FRIDAY, MARCH 3, 1978 PART II



ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

NATIONAL AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS

States Attainment Status

and the second second second

Telling a service of the service of

[6560-01]

Title 40—Protection of Environment

CHAPTER I—ENVIRONMENTAL **PROTECTION AGENCY**

SUBCHAPTER C-AIR PROGRAMS

[FRL 856-5]

PART 81-AIR QUALITY CONTROL REGIONS, CRITERIA, AND CON-TROL TECHNIQUES

Section 107—Attainment Status **Designations**

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rulemaking sets forth the attainment status of all States in relation to the national amblent air quality standards (NAAQS). The tables following this rulemaking indicate, on a State-by-State, pollutant-by-pollutant basis, the attainment status of every area as submitted by the appropriate State agency and approved, or as designated by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). No distinctions are made as to the severity of the violations recorded in the areas designated as nonattainment in these tables. These designations are immediately effective. EPA is soliciting comments for 60 days and will republish revised designations as appropriate.

DATES: Effective Date: Immediately. Comments Due: May 2, 1978.

ADDRESS: General comments on these designations should be addressed to Norman L. Dunfee, Chief, Control Programs Operations Branch (MD-15), Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS), Research Trangle Park, N.C. 27711.

Comments relative to specific State designations should be directed to the appropriate EPA Regional Office, contact as listed below:

Tom Devine, Chief, Air Branch, EPA Region I, JFK Federal Building, Boston, Mass. 02203 (Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, Vermont).

William Baker, Chief, Air Branch, EPA Region II, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, N.Y. 10007 (New York, New Jersey, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands).

Howard Heim, Chief, Air Branch, EPA Region III, Curtis Building, Sixth and Walnut Streets, Philadelphia, Pa. 19106 (Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, District of Columbia).

Tom Helms, Chief, Air Branch, EPA Region IV, 345 Courtland Street NE., Atlanta, Ga. 30308 (Alabama, Georgia, Florida, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, Tennessee, South Carolina).

Jack Chicca, Chief, Air Branch, EPA Region V, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill. 60604 (Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, Wisconsin).

Jack Divita, Chief, Air Branch, EPA Region VI, 1201 Elm Street, Dallas, Tex. 75270 (Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, New Mexico, Texas)

Art Spratlin, Chief, Air Branch, EPA Region VII, 1735 Baltimore Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64108 (Nebraska, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri).

Robert DeSpain, Chief, Air Branch, EPA Region VIII, 1860 Lincoln Street, Denver, Colo. 80295 (Montana, Utah, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wyoming, Colorado).

Allyn Davis, Chief, Air Branch, EPA Region IX, 215 Fremont Street, San Francisco, Calif. 94105 (California, Nevada, Arizona, Hawaii, American Samoa, Northern Mariana Islands).

Clark Gaulding, Chief, Air Branch, EPA Region X, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, 98101 (Alaska, Wash. Washington, Oregon, Idaho).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Norman L. Dunfee, USEPA, search Triangle Park, N.C. 27711, phone 629-5226 (FTS) or 919-541-5226 (commercial).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Clean Air Act. (CAA) Amendments of 1977 place additional requirements on the States and EPA. Among them, the Amendments added section 107(d), which directed each State, within 120 days after the Amendments were enacted, to submit to the Administrator a list of the NAAQS attainment status of all areas within the State. The Administrator was required under section 107(d)(2) to promulgate the State lists, with any necessary modifications, within 60 days of their submittal.

The States are now preparing revisions to their State implementation plans (SIPs) as required by sections 110(a)(2)(I) and 172 of the Act. This enterprise, which must be completed by January 1, 1979, requires that the States have immediate guidance as to the attainment status of the areas designated under section 107(d). Congress has acknowledged this by imposing a tight schedule on the designation process and requiring EPA to promulgate the list within 180 days of the enactment of the amendments. Under these circumstances it would be impracticable and contrary to the public interest to ignore the statutory schedule and postpone publishing these regulations until notice and comment can be effectuated. For this good cause, the Administrator has made these designations immediately effective.

The Agency recognizes, however, the importance of public involvement in the designation process. It is there-

. Comments received will be considered carefully and revisions to the designations will be made where appropriate. The criteria used in making these designations include the following.

AIR QUALITY DATA

Section 107(d) of the CAA specified that designations should be based upon air quality levels as of enactment of the Amendments (August 7, 1977). States were required by EPA guidance to consider the most recent four quarters of monitored ambient air quality data available. If this data showed no standards violations, then the previous four quarters of monitoring data were to be examined to assure that the current indication of attainment was not the result of a single year's data reflecting unrepresentative meteorological conditions. In the absence of sufficient monitored air quality data, other evaluation methods were used, including air quality dispersion modeling.

GEOGRAPHIC SIZE

The Act specified that the designation areas could be based on air quality control regions (AQCRs) or any subportions of these areas. EPA advised States they could divide AQCRs into various nonattainment, attainment, or unclassified portions, i.e., county, subcounty, or other geographic areas as long as the area could be clearly defined in a written narrative. Additionally, a different geographic area could be used in designating the status for each pollutant.

POLLUTANT SPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS

Subsections 107(d)(1) (A)-(E) of the CAA Amendments specified the possible categories for area designations. For both total suspended particulates (TSP) and sulfur dioxide (SO₂), an area could be designated as: (1) Not meeting the primary NAAQS, (2) not meeting the secondary NAAQS, (3) unclassifiable, and (4) attainment. For carbon monoxide (CO), photochemical Ox, and nitrogen dioxide (NO2), designations of: (1) Not meeting the primary NAAQS, and (2) attainment/unclassified were possible. The attainment and unclassified designations for CO/ O₂/NO₂ are combined into one column for the tables presented in this notice because both designations are set forth by subsection 107(d)(1)(E) of the CAA. No designations regarding the secondary NAAQS for these pollutants were necessary since the primary standards and secondary standards are identical.

The criteria used in designation of the status of each pollutant used in addition to ambient air quality data is discussed below:

PHOTOCHEMICAL OXIDANTS

fore, soliciting public comment on this There are 105 urban areas in the rule by May 2, 1978.

United States with populations great-

To the second second

er than 200,000. These major urban areas (except Honolulu, Hawaii, and Spokane. Wash.) are where the oxidant problem is most severe. Honolulu has recorded eight consecutive quarters of data without a violations justifying and attainment designation. There is sufficient uncertainty regarding conditions in Spokane to warrant an unclassifiable designation for the present time. The other 103 urban areas, where over 100,000,000 people reside, consistently experience photochemical oxidant levels above the NAAQS. Due to these factors, higher priority is being given in the SIP planning process to these urban areas. Of these, only six urban areas do not have oxidant ambient air quality monitoring data. The other 97 urban areas experienced oxidant violations based on ambient data. Since 97 of the 105 urban areas greater than 200,000 with monitoring data recorded violations, the six cities without data were presumed to be nonattainment for oxidants.

Additionally, a comprehensive analysis was performed by OAQPS and other factors considered by EPA for each of the six urban areas. These analyses substantiated the presumptive nonattainment designation and these areas will be required to monitor during the 1978 oxidant season (summer-fall) to determine the magnitude of their oxidant problem.

TOTAL SUSPENDED PARTICULATES

Given the spatially limited nature of TSP violations, no general area size criteria were possible. However, States were advised that designations along political boundaries such as city limits or county lines were practical from an air quality management standpoint.

The problem of designating for rural fugitive dust areas required special consideration. EPA's fugitive dust policy recognizes the generally greater health impact due to fugitive dust in urban areas in contrast to rural areas. In urban areas, the windblown soil contains various manmade toxic pollutants. But, rural windblown dust is usually not significantly contaminated by industrial pollutants. Therefore, for the purposes of these designations, any rural areas experiencing TSP violations which could be attributed to fugitive dust could claim attainment of the TSP NAAQS. Rural areas for this purpose are defined as those which have: (1) A lack of major industrial development or the absence of significant industrial particulate emissions, and (2) low urbanized population densities.

CARBON MONOXIDE

A designation of nonattainment for the entire urban core area of a city experiencing monitored CO violations was desirable, but smaller area designations were acceptable since CO violations are most pervasive in downtown areas of high traffic density.

SULFUR DIOXIDE AND NITROGEN Dioxide

Generally where EPA promulgated a designation for SO₂, the minimum area was to be the county in which the violating monitoring site was located. If States had monitoring data to substantiate the size areas they designated, they would be acceptable by EPA regardless of size.

AIR QUALITY CONTROL REGION (AQCR) REDESIGNATIONS

Section 107 of the CAA also provided for redesignation of the existing AQCR boundaries where a State determined that the redesignated areas would promote more efficient air quality management. Several States exercised this option in defining their designation areas. Part 81 under Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations presently contains descriptions of all existing AQCRs and these descriptions, where feasible, will be modified in a future Federal Register notice to reflect the State revisions. The exact descriptions of all AQCR boundaries are available from either the appropriate State or EPA Regional Office.

EFFECT OF THE DESIGNATIONS

Section 107(d)(1)(A)-(E) sets out attainment status categories to which reference is made in Parts C (Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD)) and D (Nonattainment) of the CAA. Section 171(2) in Part D defines "nonattainment area" to include any area identified under subparagraphs 107(d)(1) (A)-(C), while giving the Administrator authority to add other areas based on monitoring or calculations. Similarly, areas designated under subparagraphs 107(d)(1) (D) or (E) are described in section 161, Part C, as PSD areas.

The section 107(d) designations are meant to provide a starting point for States in their efforts to correct existing air quality problems and to implement programs under the 1977 CAA Amendments. For example, a designation as a nonattainment area, in general, means that an applicable SIP must be revised, pursuant to section 172, to provide for attainment of the NAAQS as expeditiously as practicable, but not later than December 31, 1982 (December 31, 1987, under certain conditions for photochemical oxidants and/or carbon monoxide). Under section 172(b)(6) the revised SIPs must require permits, in accordance with the provisions of section 173, for the construction and operation of major new or modified stationary sources. To be approved by the Administrator under section 110(a)(2)(I), a SIP must con-

tain a prohibition against major new source construction in nonattainment areas after June 30, 1979, where emissions from the source would contribute to increases in pollutants for which a NAAQS was being exceeded, unless the SIP meets the requirements of Part D at the time of the permit application. Under section 129 of the Amendments, EPA's emission offsets policy, as modified, continues to apply to major new source construction in nonattainment areas prior to July 1, 1979.

But the designation of an area as nonattainment or attainment must be considered only a point of departure and not a final, inflexible end in itself. The designations will have only limited significance for new source preconstruction review, for three reasons. First, new sources, wherever they propose to locate, must be reviewed for their impact on all nearby areas as well as that in which they would locate. If an area on which a new source would impact is designated differently than the one in which it is locating, the designation of the latter would not necessarily determine the rules to which the source would be subject. Second, PSD rules apply in any area where at least one NAAQS is attained, and since virtually every area in the country shows attainment for at least one pollutant, the PSD review will be a requisite virtually everywhere. Finally, case-by-case new source review is necessitated to account for the possibility that an area with a particular designation may encompass "pockets" which do not fit that designation.

These section 107(d) designations are subject to revision under Section 107(d)(5) whenever sufficient data is available to warrant a redesignation. Both the State and EPA can initiate changes to these designations, but any State redesignation must be submitted to EPA for concurrence. EPA will promulgate any revised list in accordance with the requirements for this initial

promulgation.

EPA REVIEW

The State submittals were reviewed by EPA for consistency with the criteria set forth in this notice. Where EPA differed with a State designation, section 107 of the CAA provides that EPA should notify the State and allow the submission of additional information. If EPA and the State could not reach agreement, an EPA designation would replace the State submitted designation. Also, in the case where a State failed to designate for any State or portion thereof the EPA would designate for the State as needed.

EPA considered all available monitoring data where it was determined to be valid. All EPA designations contained in the following tables were

Designated Area	Does Not Meet Primary Standards	Does Rot Meet Secondary Standards	Cannot Be Classified	Better Than National Standards
Boyd County	x			
That portion of Daviess Co. in Owensboro	x	ı		
Greenup County	x	x	,	
That portion of Henderson Co in Henderson	x			
Jefferson County	×	x		
McCracken County	* x			
Muhlenberg County	×	x		
Webster County	x	×		
Rest of State				×
	. 1			
				9
		l		
	,]			
	.			
<u> </u>	- 1	.	- 1	

Designated Area	Does Not Meet Primary Standards	Cannot Be Classifie or Better Than National Standards	
		Haralton 4	
Jefferson County			
Rest of Stabe		x	
. 17	_		
	1	- 1	
		1.	
1			
		_	
		•	
. '			
	:		
		``. !	
	1		

Kentucky - 0

Designated Area	Does Not Meet Primary Standards	Cannot Be Classifie or Better Than National Standards	
Cincinnati Area - Boone, Kenton, and Campbell Countie	x		
Daviess County	x		
Fayette County	'x		
Henderson County	x		
Jefferson County	x		
McGrecken County	x	,	
Boyd County	x		
Rest of State		x	
		-	
	·		
•			
	1	2	
ł			
.			
	7		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	· .	- 1	

Kentucky - N

Designated Ares	Does Not Neet Primary Standards	National Standards
Statewide		
		X
•		
•		
		i.
	: •	
	1	
	i	- :
	· I	
4	. <u>.</u> .	
		1. The State of th